Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

MRBI Brusseron Creek

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: MRBI Brusseron Creek		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:		Telephone:
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering "Yes" to the following question. Answering "Yes" to question 1a will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the national priority category.	
1. a. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is "Yes", do not answer any other national level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	
Water Quality Degradation – Will the proposed project improve water quality by: (select all that apply)	
2. a. Implementing the practices in a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP)?	Yes O or No O
2. b. Implementing the practices in a Nutrient Management Plan (NMP)?	Yes O or No O
2. c. Reducing impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides on land adjoining a designated "impaired water body" (TMDL, 303d listed waterbody, or other State designation)?	Yes O or No O
2. d. Reducing the impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides in a "non-impaired water body"?	Yes O or No O
2. e. Implementing practices that improve water quality through animal mortality and carcass management?	Yes O or No O
Water Conservation – Will the proposed project conserve water by: (select all that apply)	
3. a. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce aquifer overdraft.	Yes O or No O
3. b. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce on-farm water use?	Yes O or No O
3. c.Implementing practices in an area where the applicant participates in a geographically established or watershed-wide project?	
3. d. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm water use as a result of changing to crops with lower water consumptive use, the rotation of crops, or the modification of cultural operations?	Yes O or No O
Air Quality - Will the proposed project improve air quality by: (select all that apply)	
4. a. Meeting on-farm regulatory requirements relating to air quality or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	Yes O or No O
4. b. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm emissions of particulate matter (PM2.5, PM10)?	Yes O or No O
4. c.Implementing practices that reduce on-farm generated greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide (CO2), methane (CH4), and nitrous oxide (N2O)?	
4. d. Implementing practices that increase on-farm carbon sequestration?	Yes O or No O
Soil Health: – Will the proposed project improve soil health by: (select all that apply)	
5. a. Reduce erosion to tolerable limits (Soil "T")?	Yes O or No O
5. b.Increasing organic matter and carbon content, and improving soil tilth and structure?	Yes O or No O
Wildlife Habitat – Will the proposed project improve wildlife habitat by: (select all that apply)	
6. a. Implementing practices benefitting threatened and endangered, at-risk, candidate, or species of concern.	Yes O or No O
6. b. Implementing practices that retain wildlife and plant habitat on land exiting the Conservation	Yes O or No O

Reserve Program (CRP) or other set-aside program?	
6. c. Implementing practices benefitting honey bee populations or other pollinators?	
6. d. Implementing land-based practices that improve habitat for aquatic wildlife?	
Plant and Animal Communities: Will the proposed project improve plant and animal communities by: (select all that apply)	
7. a. Implementing practices that result in the management control of noxious or invasive plant species on non-cropland?	Yes O or No O
7. b. Implementing practice in an Integrated Pest Management Plan (IPM)?	Yes O or No O
Energy Conservation—Will the proposed project reduce energy use by: (select all that apply)	
8. a. Reducing on-farm energy consumption?	Yes O or No O
8. b. Implementing practice(s) identified in an approved AgEMP or energy audit, which meet ASABE S612 criteria?	Yes O or No O
Business Lines – Will the practices to be scheduled in the "EQIP Plan of Operations" result in:	
9. a. Enhancement of existing conservation practice(s) or conservation systems already in place at the time the application is received?	Yes O or No O

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
Conservation Activity Plans (400 Points):	
1. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is "Yes", do not answer any other state level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	
Implementation of an existing CNMP or other Conservation Activity Plan (CAP) (45 Points):	
1. This application will result in the implementation of all the measures of an existing CNMP or other Conservation Activity Plan (CAP).	Yes O or No O
This application will result in the following four (4) items implemented as a system on the same land unit(s) in at least 3 consecutive years (50 Points):	
1. a. (329) - Continuous No-Till meeting the 329 standard; b. (590) - Nutrient Management meeting the 590 standard (No fall commercial nitrogen applications for spring-seeded crops unless an inhibitor is used; DAP and MAP are allowed if injected, but not into frozen or snow-covered ground); c. (340) - Cover Crops (unharvested); d. (327/342/386/390/391/393) - Buffers adjacent to all water bodies and on at least 2% of the land unit acres.	Yes O or No O
303(d) watershed or a watershed with a developed TMDL (30 Points):	
1. This application will address a ground or surface water quality degradation resource concern to Planning Criteria in a 303(d) watershed or a watershed with a developed TMDL for non-point source impairment using the FY16 Ranking Tool (Question 4(1) or Question 4(2)).	Yes O or No O
Priority Resource Concerns (35 Points):	
1. Any part of the application acres lies in one or more identified priority resource concern areas listed in the FY11 Indiana State Resource Assessment, as identified through the FY17 Ranking Tool and the application includes practices that will address one or more of those concerns to Planning Criteria.	Yes O or No O
Surface Water Quality (30 Points):	
 This application includes one or more practices that will address an identified surface water quality resource concern to Planning Criteria and is located within a Surface Drinking Watershed Area identified through the FY17 Ranking Tool. 	Yes O or No O
Groundwater Quality (20 Points):	
1. This application includes one or more practices that address an identified groundwater quality resource concern to Planning Criteria and the offered acreage is within the Indiana karst region OR the offered acreage contains soils with a Leachability Index of 10 or higher as identified through the FY17 Ranking Tool.	Yes O or No O
Approved Plan(s) on file (20 Points):	
1. This application is based on a Conservation Plan and/or Conservation Activity Plan that has been	Yes O or No O

approved by the producer (signed) prior to October 1, 2016.	
The application includes the establishment of a High Tunnel System (325) and the applicant (by tax ID number) meets the following: (Answer all that apply) (90 Points MAX):	
1. has not received funding for a previous High Tunnel System (50 Points)	
2. plans to implement Cover Crops (340) to support the High Tunnel System (10 Points)	
3. plans to implement Nutrient Management (590) to support the High Tunnel System (10 Points)	
4. plans to implement Conservation Crop Rotation (328), and/or the High Tunnel System will be moved (10 Points)	Yes O or No O
5. plans to implement any vegetative practice to establish pollinator habitat adjacent to the High Tunnel System (10 Points)	Yes O or No O
Specialty Crops (50 Points):	
 This application will address an existing resource concern to Planning Criteria caused by the production of specialty crops (including USDA Certified Organic). 	Yes O or No O
Forestry Practices (20 Points):	
 This application will address existing resource concerns to Planning Criteria using forestry practices per the Indiana EQIP Guidelines. 	Yes O or No O
Wildlife friendly grasses (20 Points):	
1. This application will use only wildlife friendly grasses (as identified by the FOTG Standard 645) for vegetative practices.	
First time applicant/applicant in good standing (30 Points):	
1. This application is from an applicant (by Tax ID number) who has not participated in EQIP in the past, or if they have had a prior-approved contract, it is/has been in good standing.	
Vegetative and/or structural practices scheduled within first two years (20 Points):	
1. All vegetative and/or structural practices included in the application are scheduled to be completed within two years of the obligation date.	
Selected practices have not received payments through EQIP in the last 5 years (30 Points):	
1. This application includes one of the following practices: 314, 315, 329, 340, 345, 449, 528, 554, 585, 590, 592, 595, 644 and/or 647 and the applicant (by Tax ID number) has not received EQIP Financial Assistance for the same practice scenario within the last 5 years.	
Previous contract cancellation/termination (-200 Points):	
1. Has the applicant (by Tax ID number) had prior year EQIP, WHIP, or CSP contracts which were cancelled or terminated due to contract violation(s) within the past three program years? (-200 Points)	Yes O or No O

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering "Yes" to the following question. Answering "Yes" to question 1a will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the local priority category.	
1. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is "Yes", do not answer any other national level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	Yes O or No O
Award points based on the resource concerns being addressed in this application, relative to Local Work Group priority resource concerns for your county.	
1. Undesirable Plant Productivity and Health: Sullivan, Vigo	Yes O or No O
2. Emissions of Greenhouse Gases (GHGs): Sullivan, Vigo	Yes O or No O
3. Excess Nutrients in Surface and Ground waters: Sullivan Sheet, Rill, and Wind: Vigo	Yes O or No O
4. Sheet, Rill, and Wind: Sullivan Excess Nutrients in Surface and Ground Waters: Vigo	Yes O or No O
5. Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters: Sullivan, Vigo	Yes O or No O
6. Excessive Plant Pest Pressure: Sullivan, Vigo	Yes O or No O

7. Habitat Degradation: Sullivan, Vigo	Yes O or No O
8. SQD: Compaction: Sullivan SQD: Organic Matter Depletion: Vigo	Yes O or No O
9. SQD: Organic Matter Depletion: Sullivan SQD: Compaction: Vigo	Yes O or No O
10. Emissions of Particulate Matter and PM Precursors: Sullivan Concentrated Flow: Vigo	Yes O or No O

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
Ranking Score	
Efficiency:	
Local Issues:	
State Issues:	
National Issues:	
Final Ranking Score:	

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

Notes:

	Applicant Signature Not Required on this report for Contract Development unless required by State policy:
Signature Date:	Signature Date: